



A Peer Reviewed International Journal of Asian
Academic Research Associates

AARJMD

**ASIAN ACADEMIC RESEARCH
JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY**



FACULTY COURSE ASSIGNMENT OPTIMIZATION

DEMY F. GABRIEL¹

¹Saint Louis University, Baguio City, 2600, Philippines

Abstract

Faculty course assignment optimization optimizes the faculty-subject assignment by applying three models namely linear programming, binary integer programming and genetic algorithms. Linear programming has the highest rating but it is not feasible. Binary integer programming is the best model because its output is higher than genetic algorithms. For as long as formulation of the needed function and constraints is possible and the solver can process them, then the binary integer programming model can provide the feasible and optimal solution. Genetic algorithms is capable of giving feasible solutions even in very complicated scheduling conditions. The linear programming model can be used as a basis of the correctness of the output because the optimum value that it give is higher than those of the two models.

Keywords: Course assignment; optimization; linear programming; genetic algorithms; binary integer programming.

References

A. Scientific Papers:

1. Abdennadher, S. and Marte, M.. “University course timetabling using constraint handling rules”. *Applied Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 14, issue 4, pp. 311-325, Apr. 2000.
2. Basikhasteh, M and Movafaghpour, M. A.. “Hybridizing genetic algorithm with biased chance local search”. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*, p 80, 2011.
3. Jamnezhad, E. M. and Javidan, R.. “Evolutionary processing for optimization of educational scheduling programs”. *European Journal of Scientific Research*, vol.57, no.4, pp. 546-555, 2011.
4. Kanu, S. I., Ozurumba, B. A., and Emerole, I. C.. “Application of Linear Programming Techniques to Practical Decision Making”. *Mathematical Theory and Modeling*, vol.4, no. 9, 2014.
5. Lach, G. and Lubbecke, M.. “Curriculum based course timetabling: new solutions to Udine benchmark instances”. *Annals of Operations Research*, vol. 194, issue 1, pp 255-272, Apr. 2012.
6. McClure, R. H. and Wells, C. E.. “A mathematical programming model for faculty course assignments”. *Decision Sciences*, volume 15, issue 3, pp 409–420, 1984.
7. MirHassani, S. A.. “A computational approach to enhancing course timetabling with integer programming”. *Applied Mathematics & Computation*, vol. 175, issue 1, pp 814-822, Apr. 2006.
8. Schimmelpfeng, K. and Helber, S.. “Application of a real-world university-course timetabling model solved by integer programming”. *OR Spectrum*, vol. 29, issue 4, pp 783-803, Oct. 2007.
9. Tacadao, G. S.. “A constraint logic programming approach to the course timetabling problem using eclipse”. *ADDU-SAS Graduate School Research Journal*, vol. 8, no. 1, 2011.
10. Wang, Y.. “Using genetic algorithm methods to solve course scheduling problems”. *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 25, issue 1, p39, Jul. 2003.
11. Yang, S. and Jat, N. S.. “Genetic algorithms with guided and local search strategies for university course timetabling”. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man & Cybernetics: Part C – Applications & Reviews*, vol. 41, issue 1, pp 93-106, Jan. 2011.

B. Thesis and Dissertations:

12. Aydin, M. A.. "Solving university course timetabling problem using Genetic Algorithms". Istanbul, 2008.

C. Internet References/e-books:

13. Limitations of Linear Programming. Universal Teacher Publication.
(<http://www.universalteacherpublications.com/univ/ebooks>)
14. Business Management Courses. Management Science: Operations Research and Management Decision. (<http://www.businessmanagementcourses.org>)
15. ICMBA (2010). Internet Center for Management and Business Administration Inc.
(www.NetMBA.com).
16. Ryder, Jack (2010). A Genetic Algorithm for Scheduling. PDF Tutorials 2010.